

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/511,398	OKUMURA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Marianne L. Padgett	1762

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** allowed

(1) Marianne L. Padgett. (3) \_\_\_\_.  
 (2) Douglas Hahm. (4) \_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 28 September 2004

**Time:** several

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

MARIANNE PADGETT  
 PRIMARY EXAMINER



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Pages 35-36, paragraphs [0155] & [0156] refer to claims no longer in existence, so need amending. It was confirmed that they may properly refer to "aspects of the same numbers, as is done elsewhere in the specification & it was agreed that the change would be made by examiner's amendment. .